

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING  
THE NATURE OF SUBTERRANEAN RESERVOIRS

5

Related Application

This application is a continuation of Application No. 09/763,446 filed July 9, 2001, which claims priority to PCT Application No. PCT/GB99/02823 filed August 26, 1999 and GB Application No. 98 18875.8 filed August 28, 1998.

10

The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for determining the nature of submarine and subterranean reservoirs. More particularly, the invention is concerned with determining whether a reservoir, whose approximate geometry and location are known, contains hydrocarbons or water.

15

Currently, the most widely used techniques for geological surveying, particularly in sub-marine situations, are seismic methods. These seismic techniques are capable of revealing the structure of the subterranean strata with some accuracy. However, whereas a seismic survey can reveal the location and shape of a potential reservoir, it cannot reveal the nature of the reservoir.

20

The solution therefore is to drill a borehole into the reservoir. However, the costs involved in drilling an exploration well tend to be in the region of £25m and since the success rate is generally about 1 in 10, this tends to be a very costly exercise.

It is therefore an object of the invention to provide a system for determining, with greater certainty, the nature of a subterranean reservoir without the need to sink a borehole.

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of determining the nature of a subterranean reservoir whose approximate geometry and location are known, which comprises: applying a time varying electromagnetic field to the strata containing the reservoir; detecting the electromagnetic wave field response; and analyzing the effects on the 5 characteristics of the detected field that have been caused by the reservoir, thereby determining the content of the reservoir, based on the analysis.

According to another aspect of the invention, there is provided apparatus for determining the nature of a subterranean reservoir whose approximate geometry and location are known comprising: means for applying a time varying electromagnetic field to the strata 10 containing the reservoir; means for detecting the electromagnetic wave field response, and means for analyzing the effects on the detected field that have been caused by the reservoir, thereby enabling the content of the reservoir to be determined based on the analysis.

It has been appreciated by the present applicants that while the seismic properties of oil-filled strata and water-filled strata do not differ significantly, their electromagnetic 15 resistivities/ permittivities do differ. Thus, by using an electromagnetic surveying method, these differences can be exploited and the success rate in predicting the nature of a reservoir can be increased significantly. This represents potentially an enormous cost saving.

The technique is applicable in exploring land-based subterranean reservoirs but is especially applicable to submarine, in particular sub-sea, subterranean reservoirs. Preferably the 20 field is applied using one or more stationary transmitters located on the earth's surface, and the detection is carried out by one or more stationary receivers located on the earth's surface. In a

preferred application, the transmitter(s) and/or receivers are located on or close to the seabed or the bed of some other area of water. Conveniently, there will be a single transmitter and an array of receivers, the transmitter(s) and receivers being dipole antennae or coils, though other forms of transmitter/receivers can be used. Also, if improved directionality of the emitted field is 5 desirable, then a plurality of transmitters with phase adjustment can be used.

Electromagnetic surveying techniques in themselves are known. However, they are not widely used in practice. In general, the reservoirs of interest are about 1 km or more below the sea bed. In order to carry out electromagnetic surveying in these conditions, with any reasonable degree of resolution, short wavelengths are necessary. Unfortunately, such short 10 wavelengths suffer from very high attenuation. Long wavelengths do not provide adequate resolution. For these reasons, seismic techniques are preferred.

However, while longer wavelengths applied by electromagnetic techniques cannot provide sufficient information to provide an accurate indication of the boundaries of the various strata, if the geological structure is already known, they can be used to determine the nature of a 15 particular identified formation, if the possibilities for the nature of that formation have significantly differing electromagnetic characteristics. The resolution is not particularly important and so longer wavelengths which do not suffer from excessive attenuation can be employed.

The resistivity of sea water is about 0.3 ohm-m and that of the overburden 20 beneath the sea bed would typically be from 0.3 to 4 ohm-m, for example about 2 ohm-m. However, the resistivity of an oil reservoir is likely to be about 50 ohm-m. This large difference

can be exploited using the techniques of the present invention. Typically, the resistivity of a hydrocarbon-bearing formation will be 20 to 400 times greater than water-bearing formation.

Due to the different electromagnetic properties of a gas/oil bearing formation and a water bearing formation, one can expect a reflection of the transmitted field at the boundary of 5 a gas/oil bearing formation. However, the similarity between the properties of the overburden and a reservoir containing water means that no reflection is likely to occur.

The transmitted field may be pulsed, however, a coherent continuous wave with stepped frequencies is preferred. It may be transmitted for a significant period of time, during which the transmitter should preferably be stationary, and the transmission stable. Thus, the 10 field may be transmitted for a period of time from 30 seconds to 60 minutes, preferably from 3 to 30 minutes, for example about 20 minutes. Preferably, the receivers are arranged to detect a direct wave and a wave reflected from the reservoir, and the analysis includes extracting phase and amplitude data of the reflected wave from corresponding data from the direct wave.

The direct wave, which progresses via the sea water and the surface layers of the 15 overburden, will reach the receivers first and will be much stronger than the later reflected waves. In an alternative system, therefore, the direct wave may be suppressed, using known techniques. This means that the receivers used will not require such a large dynamic range.

Preferably, the wavelength of the transmission is given by the formula

$$0.1s \leq \lambda \leq 10s;$$

20 where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the transmission through the overburden and  $s$  is the distance from the seabed to the reservoir. More preferably  $\lambda$  is from about 0.5s to 2s. This may be achieved by

adopting a transmission frequency from 0.1 Hz to 1 kHz, preferably from 1 to 50 Hz, for example 20 Hz.

In a preferred regime, a first transmission is made at a first frequency and received by each receiver in a tuned array of receivers, then a second transmission is made at a 5 second frequency and received by the same tuned array of receivers, the receivers being tuned to receive their respective transmission. This would probably be repeated several more times, though it may only be carried out once.

Preferably, the analysis includes comparing the results of the measurements taken with the results of a mathematical simulation model based on the known properties of the 10 reservoir and overburden conditions.

Preferably, the distance between the transmitter and a receiver is given by the formula

$$0.5 \lambda \leq L \leq 10 \lambda;$$

where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the transmission through the overburden and  $L$  is the distance 15 between the transmitter and the first receiver.

Given that the distance  $s$  and the geometry of the reservoir will be known from previous seismic surveys, an optimum  $\lambda$  and  $L$  would be selected.

Where dipole antennae are used these may be fixed, however, they are preferably adapted antennae which can be tuned for optimum transmission and reception in dependence 20 upon the frequency of the transmission and its wavelength through the overburden. This may be achieved by altering their effective length either by remote controlled relays or by electronic

switching systems. In addition, the driving circuit may be tuned in order to increase the bandwidth.

Preferably, the analyzing means is arranged to analyze phase and amplitude.

If a location of interest is considered, a mathematical modeling operation may be  
5 carried out. Thus, the various relevant parameters, such as depth and expected resistivities of the various known strata in the overburden are applied to the mathematical model and the expected results are calculated in dependence upon whether a formation under consideration is oil-bearing or water-bearing. The theoretically predicted results can then be compared with the actual results achieved in the field in order to determine the nature of the formation.

10 The present invention also extends to a method of surveying subterranean measures which comprises; performing a seismic survey to determine the geological structure of a region; and where that survey reveals the presence of a subterranean reservoir, subsequently performing a method as described above.

15 The invention may be carried into practice in various ways and some embodiments will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:-

Figure 1 is a schematic section of a system in accordance with the invention.

Figure 1 shows a section through a region which has already been the subject of a seismic survey. The geological structure is known and consists of several strata which form an  
20 overburden 11 above a reservoir layer 12 and underlying strata 13. The top of the overburden is a seabed 14 above which is, of course, sea water 15.

In order to determine whether or not the reservoir layer 12 is hydrocarbon-bearing, an electromagnetic surveying technique is carried out. A vessel 16 lays a cable 17 on the seabed 14. The cable 17 includes an electromagnetic transmitter 18 and several receivers in the form of dipole antennae, three of which 21, 22, 23 are shown.

5                   The thickness  $s$  of the overburden 11 is known to be 1000 m. The depth of the water is about 800 m, though this is of no particular significance. Under these circumstances, the distance  $l$  between the transmitter 18 and the middle antenna 22 is arranged to be 2000 m, i.e.  $2s$ . The distance between adjacent antennae is about 100 m. In all, the length of the cable 17 is likely be about 4000 m.

10                  When the cable 17 is in position on the seabed 14, the transmitter 18 is activated and transmits an electromagnetic field in the form of a wave. The transmission frequency is in the range of about 1 to 30 Hz and the specific value is selected to produce a wavelength  $\lambda$  in the overburden which is approximately equal to  $s$ , that is to say,  $\lambda \approx 1000$  m. The transmitter 18 is tuned for optimum transmission and the antennae 21-23 are tuned to receive transmissions at  $\lambda = 15$  1000 m. The antennae 21-23 receive a direct wave 24 from the transmitter and also respective reflected waves 25, 26, 27 which are reflected by the reservoir layer 12 if the layer 12 is hydrocarbon-bearing. The received direct wave 24 and received reflected waves 25-27 are analyzed and compared with for example the results of forward modeling calculations based on the seismics and typical overburden electrical characteristics and from the results, a judgment 20 can be made as to the nature of the layer 12.

Typically, a frequency of 20 Hz might be selected initially. This would result in a wavelength of 400 m in the sea water and a wavelength of about 1000 m in the overburden. The wavelength in the layer 12, if hydrocarbon-bearing would be about 5000 m. Under these circumstances, the attenuation would be:

5           Direct Wave

Antenna loss	-40dB
Propagation loss	-110dB

Reflected Wave

Antenna loss	-40dB
Propagation loss	-150dB
Reflection loss	-20dB

The demanded dynamic range of the receiver system will then be 210 dB - 150 dB = 60 dB. By appropriate suppression of the direct wave, this demand will decrease dramatically and the resolution of the reflected signal will possibly be increased.

15           The transmission would be carried out for several minutes at a continuous power level of perhaps 10 kw.

This procedure is then repeated at a different frequency. This would result in different wavelengths and possibly consequent re-tuning of the antennae system. At a frequency of for example 5Hz, the wavelength in sea water would be 800 m and the wavelength in the 20 overburden, about 2000 m. The wavelength in the layer 12, if hydrocarbon-bearing, would be 10 km. The attenuation would be:

Direct Wave

Antenna loss -40dB

Propagation loss -55dB

Reflected Wave

5 Antenna loss -40dB

Propagation loss -75dB

Reflection loss -30dB

The demand for dynamic range of receiver system is now 145 dB - 95 dB = 50 dB.

10 In a preferred regime, the frequency would be increased stepwise over a range, for example 5 to 20 Hz.

The entire procedure can then be repeated in different locations and at different orientations. It will also be appreciated that by repeating the procedure after a period of production, the change in condition of a reservoir can be determined. This can be of value in 15 assessing the positions in a particular field where hydrocarbons might still be present, and where the well might be depleted.